IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

TUESDAY, THE 14TH AUGUST 2007 / 23RD SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 21994 of 2007(R)

PETITIONER:

ANU SUSAN VARGHESE,
HSST (JUNIOR) HINDI,
G.M.B.HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, KANNAMKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.N.MOHANAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE DIRECTOR,
HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

Addl.R2. SREELEKHA.S
HSST (HINDI), GOVT. VOCATIONAL HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL,
MULAKKUZHA, CHENGANNUR

Add.R3. ANITHA.P.K.
HSST (HINDI), GOVT.H.S.S.
RAMAVARMAPURAM, THRISSUR.

(Addl.respondents 2 and 3 impleaded as per order in I.A.10960/07 dated 14.8.07)

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.M.R.SREELATHA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 14/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K.BASHEER, J.

W.P.(C)No.21994 OF 2007

Dated this the 14th day of August, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner is presently working as Higher Secondary School Teacher (Junior) in Hindi in Government Higher Secondary School at Kannamkulam. Her grievance appears to be that her seniority had been overlooked while making promotion to the post of Higher Secondary School Teacher (Junior) from the cadre of High School Assistant. Her specific contention is that additional respondents 2 and 3 were given promotion in 2003 while she was promoted only in the year 2006. Various contentions have been raised by the petitioner in support of her plea that she also ought to have been promoted in the year 2003 itself.

2. I do not propose to deal with any of the contentions raised by the petitioner at this stage in view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner at the Bar. He submits that petitioner has preferred Ext.P4 representation in this regard before respondent no.1 highlighting all the relevant

aspects of the issue. The prayer is to issue a direction to respondent no.1 to take a decision on Ext.P4 expeditiously.

3. In the above facts and circumstances, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1 to consider and pass orders on Ext.P4 strictly on its merit and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Respondent no.1 shall ensure that apart from the petitioner, additional respondents 2 and 3 and all others who are likely to be affected by any order that may be passed by him are afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before any decision is taken. Petitioner shall produce a copy of the writ petition along with a certified copy of the judgment before respondent no.1 for compliance. He shall send copies of the above documents to respondents 2 and 3 also for information by registered post within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

A.K.BASHEER, JUDGE

jes